

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-24167-2022

Date of decision : 15.11.2023

M/s Sekhu Transport Co. Bathinda

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.2 to decide legal notice of the petitioner dated 16.09.2022 (Annexure P-6) submitted before respondent no.2 with request to approve timings for bus of the petitioner on Rampura to Raman a part of Raman to Bhai Rupa via Talwandi, Mour, Rampura route immediately, in accordance with law.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a legal notice dated 16.09.2022 (Annexure P-6) to respondent no.2 and at this stage, would be satisfied in case respondent no.2 considers the said legal notice in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent no.2 would consider the legal notice and decide the same within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to consider the legal notice dated 16.09.2022 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent no.2 would consider and decide the legal notice independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 15, 2023.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No