

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.214

LPA-1213-2017

Date of decision : 16.10.2023

Santosh Mongia

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present : Mr.Ashish Sanghi, Advocate for
Mr.S.K.Panwar, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr.Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present intra Court appeal has been preferred by the appellant to challenge therein order dated 03.02.2017 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court dismissing the appellant's writ petition through which she claimed promotion to the post of Administrative Officer.

2. It is not disputed that on 27.02.2013 the departmental promotion committee recommended the appellant's case for promotion to the post of Administrative Officer but before any order of promotion could be passed she superannuated on 28.02.2013.

3. In the light of the afore facts and for the reason that the appellant had knocked the doors of this Court after 3½ years of his superannuation and because there was no mandate of law under which a superannuated employee could be granted promotion from a back date only because he/she had been recommended for promotion prior to his/her date of superannuation, the learned Single Judge dismissed the appellant's writ petition.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the appellant and going through the record of this case we find no infirmity, legal or factual, in the order under challenge especially when it is also not disputed that no person junior to the appellant was promoted to the post of Administrative Officer while she was in service.

5. Dismissed.

6. No costs.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

16.10.2023
shamsher

[SUKHVINDER KAUR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether reportable :	Yes / No