

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP-24309-2022 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision: - 14.07.2023

Manjeet

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Balwan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 to award 4 marks to the petitioner as additional marks for his higher qualification i.e. Bachelor in Arts (Annexure P-4) dated 24.11.2021 as per criteria for selection prescribed in Advertisement No.4/2020 (Annexure P-1) dated 30.12.2020.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised in the present writ petition, the petitioner has given a detailed representation dated 28.09.2023 (Annexure P-10) to the Haryana Staff Selection Commission and the petitioner would be satisfied in case the competent authority of the Haryana Staff Selection Commission is considered the said representation dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of the Haryana Staff Selection Commission would consider the

said representation dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-10) in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the Haryana Staff Selection Commission to consider the representation dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-10) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order. In case, the competent authority of the Haryana Staff Selection Commission is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, to take appropriate action in accordance with law. In case, the competent authority of the Haryana Staff Selection Commission is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim of the petitioner be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the Haryana Staff Selection Commission would consider the matter independently, in accordance with law.

6. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the above.

**July 14, 2023**  
naresh.k

**( VIKAS BAHL )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No