

2023:PHHC:121275

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CRWP-9028-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 14.09.2023

Muskan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-1359-2023

Exemption, as prayed for, is granted.

Main case

1. Muskan daughter of Ali Hasn (petitioner No.1) claims to be 20 years and Omkar son of Ram Vilas Paswan (petitioner No.2) claims to be 31 years of age. They have pleaded that they are in live-in relationship against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6. They apprehend danger from them and seek protection to their life and liberty.

2. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 08.09.2023, Annexure P-4, to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa. Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon a judgment of this Court in ***CWP No.31834 of 2019 titled as “Megha and another vs. State of Haryana and others”*** decided on 04.11.2019 and submitted that this Court had entertained petition for protection of couples in live-in relationship.

3. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-4 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

14.09.2023

(SUVIR SEHGAL)

kamal

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No