

CRM-M- 45768-2023

2023:PHHC:163358

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M No. 45768-2023

Date of decision :19.12.2023

Jatinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State Of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Mikhail Kad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1

On 14.09.2023 the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.0146 dated 03.08.2023 registered for offence punishable under Sections 306, 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter-alia submits that it is highly debatable as to whether offence punishable under-Sections 306, 34 IPC would be made out as the allegation is only that the money lent by the finance company was being demanded back and apart therefrom no overt act has been alleged to constitute inducement. No date and no incident has been specified.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

Adjourned to 19.12.2023.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

CRM-M- 45768-2023 2023:PHHC:163358

2 Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Harpal Singh has stated that pursuant to the order dated 14.09.2023 the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3 In view of above, the interim order dated 14.09.2023 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4 This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5 This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency, to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

6 The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner in case the occasion arises.

7 It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this court for a direction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

8 Petition stands disposed off.

9 Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

19.12.2023
Anu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No