

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(283)

**CRM-M-45698 of 2023
Date of Decision: 04.12.2023**

Anupam Singla

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Pankaj Nain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Though the instant petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.13 dated 15.01.2019, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and under Section 9 of the Central Sales Tax Act, 1956 and Section 10 of GST Act 2017 registered at Police Station Sadar Gurugram, District Gurugram.

2. Though, the offence under which the petitioner is facing trial is triable by learned JMIC Gurugram, however, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is involved in a huge financial scam which caused lost to the ex-chequer by submitting forged GST inputs. He further apprises this Court that the petitioner apart from the present case, is involved in 25 other cases. He further submits that out of 36 witnesses, 27 stand examined and in case, the prosecution is granted 3 months time, they shall positively examine the rest of the witnesses.

3. In view of the specific statement suffered by counsel for the respondent-State, this Court is not inclined to grant the ask for relief, however, direction is issued to the respondent-State to make all efforts to examine the rest of the prosecution witnesses within three months. The direction is also

issued to the learned trial Court to make all possible efforts to conclude the trial. In case, the prosecution evidence could not be completed within three months without the fault of the accused, the accused-petitioner shall be at liberty to re-access this Court after three months from the next date of hearing.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

04.12.2023
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No