

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-2251-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.02.2023**

Amandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Mukhwinder Singh Chhina and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. H. P. S. Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is alleging violation of the order dated 18.03.2021, passed by this Court in **CRM-M-16013-2020**, titled as ***Pankaj Kumar @ Panki vs. State of Punjab and another***, wherein certain guidelines were issued with regard to conducting investigation in an FIR.

Reply, by way of the affidavit of the SSP, Bathinda, is filed today in Court. The same is taken on record. As per paragraph No. 6 of the reply, it is stated that the aforesaid judgment rendered in ***Pankaj Kumar @ Panki's*** case (supra) has been set aside by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos. 5016-5017/2021, vide order dated 19.07.2021 as it is observed that the quashing of instructions dated 04.05.2017 in the aforesaid judgment is set aside and the observations regarding procedure of investigation will not operate as precedent in future or otherwise influence the investigation.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to avail his alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

08.02.2023

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No