

265 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47697-2023
Date of decision: 19.12.2023

MANDEEP KAUR AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Rajeshwar Singh Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.
 Mr. Madhur Sharma, AAG, Punjab.
 Mr. Harjit Singh Gharoo, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.8 dated 15.1.2023 registered under Sections 328/120-B Indian Penal Code at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 13.02.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. Status report dated 19.12.2023 by way of affidavit of Gurdeep Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Sub Division) Zira, District Ferozepur on behalf of respondent No.1-State has been filed and is taken on record.

3. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-respondent No.2 on the allegations that petitioner No.1 (daughter-in-law of the complainant) wanted to get the land transferred in her name and that when the complainant-respondent No.2 refused to transfer the land, the petitioners, in connivance with each other mixed lead in the milk of the complainant-respondent No.2, so as to kill him. Now with the intervention of respectable

persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

4. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class, Zira stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

7. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. A two Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Shakunta Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*, speaking through Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer, has held as under:-

“4.The finest hour of justice arrives propitiously when parties, despite falling apart, bury the hatchet and weave a sense of fellowship or reunion.....”

8. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.8 dated 15.1.2023 registered under Sections 328/120-B Indian Penal Code at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

December 19, 2023
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |