

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-45682-2023

Date of Decision:21.11.2023

Armaan @ Sippi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 14.09.2023, following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.237, dated 20.06.2023, under Sections 21B, 29 for the NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Sadar Dabwali, District Sirsa.

Allegations against the petitioner are that 29.55 grams heroin was recovered from co-accused Sukhdeep Singh @ Gairy, Padamraj and Harman @ Harry, who in their disclosure statements nominated the petitioner to be the supplier.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is a staunch supporter of the political party and due to ongoing political rivalry between that party and the ruling party, present petitioner is being implicated due to political pressure. Though, it is conceded by learned counsel that petitioner is involved in two more cases, but submits that in those cases, he was nominated on the basis of the disclosure statement of other co-accused.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to

him during the course of day.

Let the complete status report be filed on 21.11.2023 by disclosing the specific role of the petitioner, his criminal antecedents and any link between the petitioner and co-accused.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 14.09.2023 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

November 21, 2023

Neetika Tuteja

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No