

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8991-2023
Date of decision: 19.09.2023**

Rajvinder Kaur and another **....Petitioners.**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **....Respondents.**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sarbjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J.

This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 10.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that both petitioners are major and they have also appended their Aadhar Cards with regard to the age proof.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that petitioners are apprehending threats to their lives at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 10 and in this regard the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No. 2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. But no action has been taken till date.

4. Notice of motion.

CRWP-8991-2023

-2-

5. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents and Mr. Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 5 and 7 and filed his power of attorney. The same is taken on record.

6. Without commenting on the inter se relationship of the parties, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala, to consider the representation dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and if so warranted, take action, to ensure protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

7. This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their relationship in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioners would be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

19.09.2023
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |