

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 9th February, 2023

1. FAO-6199-2018 (O&M)

Sukhraj Singh and others
... Appellants

Versus

M/s Daimler Financial Services Pvt. Ltd.
... Respondent

2. FAO-6200-2018 (O&M)

Sukhraj Singh and others
... Appellants

Versus

M/s Daimler Financial Services Pvt. Ltd.
... Respondent

1. FAO-6017-2018 (O&M)

Sukhraj Singh and others
... Appellants

Versus

M/s Daimler Financial Services Pvt. Ltd.
... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. H.S. Jalal, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Saurabh Savara, Advocate for
Mr. Vipul Dharmani, Advocate for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. These three appeals are filed aggrieved of order passed under
Section 9 of Arbitration and conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Act')
appointing the receiver to take possession of the hypothecated vehicle.

2. During the hearing learned counsel for the parties, informed

3. In view of the subsequent development during the pendency of the appeals i.e. passing of the awards, no further orders are called for, the appeals are disposed of as infructuous.
4. Needless to say that appellants would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance, if any.
5. A photocopy of the order be placed on the files of connected cases.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

9th February, 2023
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No