

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(121)

CWP-20525-2023

Date of decision: - 15.09.2023

Akansha

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.1-UOI.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to transfer the petitioner to a National Board of Examinations in Medical Sciences (NBEMS) accredited institute in Diplomate in National Board (DNB) General Medicine Course in the light of the inadequate infrastructure and facilities in the institute of respondent No.3, with a further prayer made for directing the respondent No.3 to release the stipend to the petitioner in accordance with the stipend proforma (Annexure P-5) issued by respondent No.3.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has detailed her grievances before the Head/Director of Grievance Redressal Committee for DNB Students by filing a

representation dated 25.08.2023 (Annexure P-9), but no decision has been taken on the same. It is further submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied, at this stage, in case, the Hospital Grievances Redressal Committee takes a decision on the said representation in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant her appropriate relief.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Hospital Grievances Redressal Committee to take a final decision on the representation dated 25.08.2023 (Annexure P-9) within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the Hospital Grievances Redressal Committee is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible and in case, the Hospital Grievances Redressal Committee is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of 15 days.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the Hospital Grievances Redressal Committee would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

September 15, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No