

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(121)

**2023:PHHC:121162
CWP-20392-2023
Date of Decision: 14.09.2023**

Seema Devi

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL.

Present:- Mr. K.S. Dhanora, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana
for respondents no.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL.J (Oral)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant compensation of Rs.15 laksh as per clause (a) of MOU dated 11.2.2020 (Annexure P-1) along with interest @ 18% per annum on delayed payment as the husband of the petitioner met with an accident on 23.12.2020 while on duty and died.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given a legal notice dated 1.7.2023 (Annexure P-5) to various authorities including respondent No.5 and the petitioner would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 1.7.2023 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that competent authority would consider the said legal notice dated 1.7.2023 (Annexure P-5) in

accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to consider the legal notice dated 1.7.2023 (Annexure P-5), in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioners are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority would consider the case of the petitioner independently and in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

14.09.2023

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No