

127

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20654-2023

Date of Decision:02.11.2023

ABHISHEK TRIVEDI

..... Petitioner

Versus

UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. Shubhkarman Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate with
Mr. Nitesh Jhanjan, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 5.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of transfer order dated 18.05.2023 (Annexure P-15) whereby petitioner has been transferred to RBO-4, Sector 8, Chandigarh.

2. The petitioner is admittedly suffering from 85% locomotor disability. The petitioner cannot perform his duties in Sector 8 Branch because of non-availability of infrastructure necessary for persons suffering from locomotor disability. The U.T. Administration during the pendency of petition constituted a committee which conducted physical verification of different Branches of the respondent-Bank and formed an

opinion that main Branch of Sector 17, Chandigarh may be made wheel chair friendly.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-Bank on instructions from Atul Sharma, Law Officer, SBI LHO, Sector 17, Chandigarh submits that Bank has decided to transfer the petitioner at Sector 17 Branch and in the said Branch within 10 days all the infrastructure facilities would be created which are necessary for a person like petitioner. He specifically asserts that prime concern of the petitioner is with respect to toilet and respondent- Bank would complete locomotor disability persons friendly toilet within 10 days.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are further problems on account of outdoor features.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent-Bank assures the Court that every possible arrangement would be made for the convenience of the petitioner with respect to outdoor problems.

6. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondent-Bank, the petition stands disposed of. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application, if respondent-Bank fails to provide indoor facilities.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

02.11.2023

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>