

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRM-M-45573-2023

Date of decision: 13.09.2023

Sanjeev Kumar Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate legal action on complaint/representation dated 02.09.2023 (Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint/representation was given on 02.09.2023 (Annexure P-1) to the police authorities, however, it had failed to yield any response. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required,

under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint/representation dated 02.09.2023 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.***

13.09.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No