

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46059-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 30.10.2023

Sandeep Goyal

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present :- Mr. Kanwar Abhay Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

On 14.09.2023, the following order was passed:-

“The Prayer in this petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for the setting aside of the order dated 13.06.2023 passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana (Annexure P-10) in FIR No.194 dated 28.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 457, 380 and 411 IPC (added later on) registered at P.S. Salem Tabri, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana whereby the petitioner's bail has been cancelled and his bail bonds and surety bonds have been ordered to be forfeited to the State.

The Counsel for the petitioner contends that on 13.06.2023 an application had been moved for exemption. Inadvertently in the title it was mentioned as Akash Kumar @ Sandeep Goyal whereas these were two separate persons. While exempting the presence of Akash Kumar @ Patel the impugned order came to be passed qua the petitioner. He states that petitioner is ready and willing to join the proceedings once again.

Notice of motion for 30.10.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of one week from today and subject to a deposit of Rs.25,000/- as costs with the Sadhna Society for the Mentally Handicapped Near Housing Board Chowk,. Raen Basera Building, Manimajra, Sector 13, Chandigarh, he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court. The petitioner is further directed to place on record the photocopy of receipt of payment as well as the order passed by the court below granting interim bail to the petitioner.”

The Counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the aforementioned order the petitioner has surrendered and has since been granted the concession of interim bail. He has also deposited the costs as imposed by this Court vide aforesaid order dated 14.09.2023.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Disposed of.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall continue to appear before the Trial Court and shall not absent himself without any sufficient cause.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

30.10.2023
VS

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/ No