

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRM-M-48804-2022

Date of Decision : 18.01.2023

Anil

.... Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Ishrawati Devi

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'the Cr.P.C.') for quashing of impugned order dated 20.07.2022 (**Annexures P-4**) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, in *Criminal Appeal No.132/2022* titled as '*Anil Vs. Ishrawati Devi*' whereby while suspending the sentence to the petitioner, the petitioner was directed to deposit 20% of the compensation amount awarded by the trial Court within a period of 60 days.

Brief facts of the case are that in order to discharge his legal liability, the petitioner issued a cheque bearing No.055074 dated 15.09.2014 amounting to Rs.50,000/- and cheque bearing No.055001 dated 09.07.2014 amounting to Rs.4,50,000/- drawn on Axis Bank Ltd., Sector-37, Faridabad in favour of the respondent and when the said cheques were presented by the respondent, the same were dishonoured with remarks '*Insufficient Funds*'. Thereafter, the respondent issued a legal notice to the petitioner but despite that the petitioner has failed to

make the payment. Hence, respondent filed a complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for short 'the N.I. Act') against the petitioner.

Vide judgment of conviction dated 06.04.2022 and order of sentence dated 08.04.2022, the petitioner was held guilty for commission of offence punishable under Section 138 of the N.I. Act and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of 01 year and to pay a compensation of Rs.11,80,000/- i.e. the double of cheque amount, to the respondent/complainant in terms of Section 143(1) read with Section 357(1)(3) of the Cr.P.C. within 01 month.

Being aggrieved against the aforesaid judgment of conviction and order of sentence, the petitioner has preferred an appeal along with the application under Section 389 Cr.P.C. for suspension of sentence during the pendency of the appeal. The application under Section 389 of the Cr.P.C. filed by the petitioner was allowed on 09.05.2022 and his sentence was suspended. Thereafter, vide impugned order dated 20.07.2022, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad directed the petitioner to deposit 20% of total compensation amount awarded by the trial Court within 60 days.

Aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner has filed the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the impugned order dated 20.07.2022 is illegal. The complaint was filed before the amendment in Section 143-A and 148 of the N.I. Act. Therefore, Sections 143-A and 148 of the N.I. Act are not applicable in

the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that any amendment in law which is not specifically made retrospective cannot be made applicable with retrospective effect especially when the same has an adverse consequences. In support of his arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon a judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***G.J. Raja Vs. Tejraj Surana : 2019(3) RCR (Criminal) 959*** and ***Maharaja Chintamani Sarannath Shahdeo Vs. State of Bihar : AIR 1999 SC 3609***.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper-book.

For ready reference, Section 148 and 143-A of the N.I. Act are reproduced here-in-below:-

"148. Power of Appellate Court to order payment pending appeal against conviction.-

(1) Notwithstanding anything contained in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, in an appeal by the drawer against conviction under section 138, the Appellate Court may order the appellant to deposit such sum which shall be a minimum of twenty per cent of the fine or compensation awarded by the trial Court: Provided that the amount payable under this sub-section shall be in addition to any interim compensation paid by the appellant under section 143A.

(2) The amount referred to in sub-section (1) shall be deposited within sixty days from the date of the order, or within such further period not exceeding thirty days as may be directed by the Court on sufficient cause being shown by the appellant.

(3) The Appellate Court may direct the release of the amount deposited by the appellant to the complainant at any time during the pendency of the appeal: Provided that if the appellant is acquitted, the Court shall direct the complainant to repay to the appellant the amount so released, with interest at the bank rate as published by the Reserve Bank of India, prevalent at the beginning of the relevant financial year, within sixty days from the date of the

order, or within such further period not exceeding thirty days as may be directed by the Court on sufficient cause being shown by the complainant."

Section 143-A of the N.I. Act:-

"143-A. Power to direct interim compensation. - (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Court trying an offence under section 138 may order the drawer of the cheque to pay interim compensation to the complainant -

(a) in a summary trial or a summons case, where he pleads not guilty to the accusation made in the complaint; and

(b) in any other case, upon framing of charge.

(2) The interim compensation under sub-section (1) shall not exceed twenty per cent of the amount of the cheque.

(3) The interim compensation shall be paid within sixty days from the date of the order under sub-section (1), or within such further period not exceeding thirty days as may be directed by the Court on sufficient cause being shown by the drawer of the cheque.

(4) If the drawer of the cheque is acquitted, the Court shall direct the complainant to repay to the drawer the amount of interim compensation, with interest at the bank rate as published by the Reserve Bank of India, prevalent at the beginning of the relevant financial year, within sixty days from the date of the order, or within such further period not exceeding thirty days as may be directed by the Court on sufficient case being shown by the complainant.

(5) The interim compensation payable under this section may be recovered as if it were a fine under section 421 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

(6) The amount of fine imposed under section 138 or the amount of compensation awarded under section 357 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, shall be reduced by the amount paid or recovered as interim compensation under this section."

The point of law, regarding applicability of amended Sections 143-A and 148 of the N.I. Act in the present case, raised by learned counsel for the petitioner, has already been considered in detail and decided by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***M/s Ginni Garments and another vs. M/s Sethi Garments and another : 2019 (2)***

R.C.R. (Criminal) 833, wherein, it has been held that the procedure for recovery of fine or compensation from appellant in pending appeal already existed in Cr.P.C even before adding Section 148 of the Act. Therefore, no new aspect of coercive recovery of fine or compensation from the appellant is being freshly created through this amended provision. This judgment stands upheld by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Surinder Singh Deswal @ Col. S.S. Deswal & Ors. Vs. Virender Gandhi & Anr : 2020 (1) R.C.R. (Criminal) 604***.

Therefore, in view of the aforesaid judgments rendered in ***M/s Ginni Garments*** and ***Surinder Singh Deswal's cases (supra)***, the present petition is hereby dismissed and impugned order dated 20.07.2022 (**Annexures P-4**) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad is upheld.

18.01.2023
kothiyal

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No