

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 253

CRM-M-51812-2021

Date of decision : 21.02.2023

Chatinder Kumar @ Seeta and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Sahil Gupta, Advocate, for  
Mr.Bhuwan Vats, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr.A.P.S.Tung, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.S.S.Kang, Advocate, for  
Mr.Saurabh Chaubey, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.66 dated 01.03.2018 registered under Sections 452, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar (Rural) and all proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners on the basis of a compromise (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

On 13.12.2021 this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their respective statements with regard to the compromise, who in turn was directed to submit a report along with the recorded statements as to whether there is any other accused other than the petitioners; whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents; whether any accused has been declared a proclaimed offender and whether the compromise is valid and has been effected without any influence or coercion.

As directed, report dated 03.03.2022 from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phillaur has been received as per which the parties had recorded their statements before the Trial Court in terms of the compromise

arrived at between them; only the petitioners are arrayed as the accused; respondent No.2 is the only complainant; the petitioners have not been declared proclaimed offender(s) and that the compromise is *bonafide* and is not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner.

Learned State counsel has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

In view of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance of the afore-referred FIR in which offences are not heinous as also the matter having been compromised, would be an abuse of the process of law and in terms of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh vs State of Punjab* (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court deems it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash FIR No.66 dated 01.03.2018 registered under Sections 452, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar (Rural) and all proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

21.02.2023  
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]  
JUDGE

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |