

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CWP No.25072 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Order:26.05.2023.

Deepak Mahajan

.Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Harbans Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Sr. DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. In this writ petition, the question that arises for adjudication is with regard to the correctness of the revised answer key. The disputed question no.86 has been withdrawn by the Public Service Commission after finding that the question and the various optional answers are vague. This decision has been taken after the provisional answer key was uploaded and the objections were invited.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the decision of the Public Service Commission to withdraw Question no.86 is wrong and patently illegal. He submits that Option No. 'D' is the correct answer to the said question.

3. The question is related to the subject of Physics. This court claims lack of knowledge on the aforesaid subject as the matter is related to a special subject. The scope of judicial review in the matters related to subject matter expertise is extremely narrow. This court cannot claim to be

an expert who has proper knowledge of all the subjects which are the subject matter of examination.

4. As already noticed, the scope of the writ court in such matter has been explained in two recent Supreme Court judgments, namely, **Vikesh Kumar Gupta vs. State of Rajasthan and others (2021) 2 SCC 309** and **Ran Vijay Singh and others vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others, (2018) 2 SCC 357.**

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid limited scope, the writ petition is dismissed.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

May 26, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:YES/NO
Whether reportable	:YES/NO