

262

2023:PHHC:064854
CWP-25098-2021 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 04.05.2023.

ASHWANI MARKENDAY

.. Petitioner

VERSUS

THE DISTRICT JUDGE, ROHTAK AND OTHERS

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priya Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition had been filed for seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.3 to refund the entire amount deposited by the petitioner towards the cost of Chamber.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner fairly concedes that the amount Rs.3,50,000/- deposited by him has already been returned, however, he claims that he is entitled to interest on the delayed refund of the said amount.

Without going into the merits of the controversy, there is no necessity of examining the said issue in the writ jurisdiction.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

The petitioner, however, would be at liberty to take recourse to the applicable law for seeking interest on the delayed payment.

May 04, 2023.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No