

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

**CRR No. 2176 of 2022
Date of Decision : 22.2.2023**

Saurabh

..... Petitioner

versus

Preeti Rani

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Sarfraj Anjum Mor, Advocate, for the respondent

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J. (ORAL):

This revision petition is against the order dated 25.2.2022 passed by the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, directing the payment of interim maintenance of Rs.7000/- per month under Section 23 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, and also the order dated 13.9.2022, passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, upholding the grant of interim maintenance.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the amount of maintenance granted is on a higher side as he is working merely as a Commission Agent in the Grain Market, Punhana, District Nuh.

3. Perusal of the impugned orders show that averments regarding the petitioner being a Commission Agent, owning a grocery shop and earning Rs. 2.5 lakhs per month, have simply been denied by him in the written statement, without giving any specific reply as to how much he is earning or what is his vocation. A certified copy of the petition filed by the petitioner/husband under Section 13 (1A) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, was also placed on record before the trial Court, wherein he had claimed himself to be a businessman,

running his business at village Punhana.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and bald denial to the averments, the trial Court awarded the interim maintenance of Rs.7000/- per month to the respondent/ wife, which cannot be considered on a higher side. The impugned orders are well reasoned and based on relevant considerations.

6. Dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

22.2.2023
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No