

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47772-2022

Date of decision: 08.08.2023

Gurcharan Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 to 10.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the official respondent Nos.2 and 3 to follow the provisions of Section 160 Cr.P.C. in conducting investigation/inquiry for requiring the attendance of the petitioners in connection with any complaint filed by the private respondents.

2. Learned State Counsel has submitted that as per reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2, presently, no complaint has been filed against the petitioners with respect to matrimonial dispute between the petitioners' family and family of respondent No.5.

3. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.5 to 10 has submitted that

even respondent Nos.5 to 10 have not filed any complaint against the petitioner and thus, the present petition is misconceived.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of stand taken by learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

08.08.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No