

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(243)

CRM-M-51613-2021
Date of Decision: 16.02.2023

Jagdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. I. S. Dhaliwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. K. B. S. Mann, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J.(ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioners pray for quashing of Complaint bearing No.02-2018 dated 24.01.2018 registered under Sections 324, 326, 34 IPC, 1860, pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout (Annexure P-3) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.10.2021 (Annexure P-5).

2. In the present case, due to some altercation, petitioner inflicted injuries to the complainant, resulting into registration of the present FIR.

3. In pursuance to an order dated 10.12.2021 passed by this Court, whereby the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court for getting their statements recorded as regards the veracity of the compromise arrived at between them, report dated 10.05.2022 has been received from the concerned court, stating that the compromise in the present case is valid, genuine,

accused except the present petitioners, besides the complainant namely, Sukhmandar Singh. No accused has been declared as proclaimed offender. The stage of trial was fixed for evidence of prosecution.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No.2 has no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners, the dispute being purely personal in nature, there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR. He further submits that in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

5. On the other hand learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has inflicted injuries to the complainant with his respective weapon, due to some altercation.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, they having settled their dispute so as to live peacefully in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

7. Thus in view of the aforesaid report, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgment, Complaint bearing No.02-2018 dated 24.01.2018 registered under Sections 324, 326, 34 IPC, 1860, pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout (Annexure P-3) as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. The aforesaid order shall however, be subject to payment costs of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Association Lawyer’s Family Welfare Fund having Account No.41564846387 with State Bank of India, High Court Branch, Chandigarh, within a period of two weeks from today.

Petition stands disposed of

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

16.02.2023
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No