

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

297

**CWP-23523-2022**  
**Date of Decision: 09.01.2023**

**GURNEET KAUR**

... Petitioner

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Sukesh K. Jindal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.3 whereby he refused to register the sale deed of the petitioner.

Reply on behalf of the respondents has been filed today in Court. Copy thereof has been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the sale deed has already been registered in favour of the petitioner during the interregnum. He contends that the petitioner has still a subsisting claim against the respondents for delaying the registration of the sale deed without any ostensible cause and tangible reason. He further submits that since the substantive relief has already been granted to the petitioner, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to take recourse to the alternative remedy available to him in accordance with law with regard to his subsisting claim against the respondents.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to such other alternative remedy as may be available to him for any other subsisting grievance against the respondents, if so advised.

Disposed of accordingly.

**09.01.2023**  
*rajender*

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*