

2023:PHHC:127771  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

227 Date of Decision: 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2023

CRM-M-45095-2023  
Baljit Singh ... Petitioner  
Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CRM-M-45446-2023  
Karan Kumar ... Petitioner  
Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Shiv Charan Bhola, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.  
Ms. Harjeet Kaur, Advocate for the complaint.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. These petitions under Section 439 Cr.P.C. are filed seeking regular bail in FIR mentioned below :-

| FIR No. | Date      | Police Station                                       | Sections                                     |
|---------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 102     | 16.6.2020 | Nurpur Bedi, Rupnagar,<br>District Rupnagar, Punjab. | 364/367/323/341<br>/506/148/149<br>IPC, 1860 |

2. Brief facts are that the FIR was registered at the instance of Sukhpal Singh. It was alleged that on 12.6.2020 the complainant along with Polo were going on a motorcycle and on the way they were stopped by some unknown persons including both the petitioners. The complainant was forced to sit on their motorcycle, taken to the bridge of Satluj river and given beatings.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all the injuries were simple in nature. Petitioners No.1 and 2 have been in custody since 18.6.2021 and 12.10.2020 respectively. He submits that the matter has been compromised and no recovery is to be made from the petitioners.

4. Learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice opposes the prayer, submits that the injuries inflicted by the petitioners are simple in nature.

5. Ms. Harjeet Kaur, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant though not impleaded as party and verifies the factum of compromise.

6. Without commenting upon the merits of the case and the factum of compromise, considering the custody period and that the conclusion of trial is likely to take time, the petitioners are granted regular bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The petitions are allowed.

8. Since the main cases have been allowed the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN )  
JUDGE

3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2023  
anuradha