

(263)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8882-2023 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 30.11.2023

Arun Berry

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jaskirat Singh Arora, Advocate,
for respondents No. 5 & 6.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from respondent No.6 and for taking appropriate legal action on the complaint of the petitioner and his parents (Annexures P-2, P-3 and P-10) against respondents No.5 and 6.

2. None appears on behalf of the petitioner even on second call.

3. The learned counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 contends that respondent No.5 is the estranged wife of the petitioner because of which the instant petition has been filed.

6. The learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Veena Rani submits that threat assessment was carried out and it has been

(263)

found that the petitioner faces no threat from respondents No.5 and 6. In fact, he and his family did not join the enquiry proceedings pursuant to the representations submitted by them.

7. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed. The present petition is disposed of. However, if at a subsequent stage, the petitioner or his family members face any threat at the instance of respondents No.5 and 6, they are at liberty to approach this Court in accordance with law.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 30, 2023
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No