

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2071 of 2023

Date of Decision: 17.10.2023

‘P’xxx

...Petitioner

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Davinder Lubana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to her under law to seek the redressal of her grievance as set-forth in the present petition, before the trial Court.

Mr. Ankur Bali, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, UT, Chandigarh, who has appeared on behalf of respondent No.1 in this case in pursuance of the copy of the instant petition having been sent to the respondent-UT in advance, has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

17.10.2023

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No