

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45724-2023

Date of decision: 05.10.2023

SATNAM SINGH ALIAS LADDI AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Grewal, Advocate for

Mr. M.S. Saini, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of GD/DDR No. 33 dated 29.06.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at P.S. Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab in FIR No. 106 dated 29.06.2023 under Sections 341, 323, 506 and 148 of IPC registered at P.S. Garhshankar, Hoshiarpur, Punjab (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 18.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 18.08.2023 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. It has been mentioned in the report that the petitioners have deposited

cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance to the order dated 20.09.2023.

3. The Sub- Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Garhshankar, vide report dated 04.10.2023, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Garhshankar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. GD/DDR No. 33 dated 29.06.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at P.S. Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab in FIR No. 106 dated 29.06.2023 under Sections 341, 323, 506 and 148 of IPC registered at P.S. Garhshankar, Hoshiarpur, Punjab (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

05.10.2023
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |