

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.45416 of 2023
Date of decision: 29th November, 2023

Laddi @ Jaskaran Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Yajur Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.57 dated 26.03.2023, registered under Sections 307, 452, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 13.09.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that as per the allegations, the petitioner was armed with .12 bore gun, but no fire arm injury has been attributed to him at any stage; that alleged shot was fired by co-accused/ Ranjit Singh, which hit the boundary wall of terrace and

that there is no other registered or pending case against the petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 13.09.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. It has also not been disputed that the petitioner has not been attributed any injury much less with a firearm in the occurrence in question. She, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 13.09.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 29, 2023

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No