

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1059-2022

Date of decision : 23.01.2023

Kavrish Kumar Sharma

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Neeru Bansal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant, while taking this Court through the impugned order and judgment dated 05.09.2022 passed by the learned Single Bench, submits that the issue as to the validity of the order dated 04.07.2016 passed by the Director General of Police, Haryana, denying the benefit to the appellant and rejecting his claim for retention on the post of Head Constable under 10% quota, has not been considered, though that was the main issue raised in the writ petition.

The contention of the learned counsel for the appellant appears to be correct. However, when confronted with the fact as to whether the appellant has sought review of the impugned order and judgment on this ground, learned counsel for the appellant prays for and is permitted to withdraw the appeal with liberty to the appellant to file an

application before the learned Single Bench, seeking review of the impugned order and judgment.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

January 23, 2023
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No