

2023:PHHC:160602

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

269

CRM-M-47270-2022
Date of Decision.:14.12.2023

Preetam Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer was made to take action against private respondents who had allegedly misbehaved with the old aged cancer patient- petitioner and her attendant.

Short reply by way of an affidavit of Ms. Neha Aggarwal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Samana, District Patiala has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4- State. Relevant part of which reads as under:

“That from the enquiry conducted by ASI Joginder Singh, it came to light that wife of petitioner namely Shanti Devi is a cancer patient, who's treatment was going at Sangrur, Faridkot and Patiala. That government had given the facility of free pass to her along with one person in PRTC Bus. On 07.11.2019, petitioner without his wife was travelling in PRTC Bus from Samana to Patiala, who did not purchase his bus ticket. That Baldev Singh Inspector (respondent no. 6) along with his team conducting the checking of the bus at T Point Passiana and the petitioner was found travelling without the ticket. Therefore, the petitioner was fined 10 times the price of ticket i.e. Rs. 350 and after obtaining the same, respondent no.6 issued receipt of the same to him. That as the free pass was for the

wife of petitioner and the petitioner was travelling alone, therefore, the free pass was not applicable. Therefore, the respondent no.6 alongwith his team had rightly fined the petitioner and hence, the representation moved by the petitioner was to be consigned to the record room.”

Apart from above, it is also noticed that nobody is making representation on behalf of the petitioner ever since 13.07.2023. It appears that petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing this petition.

Having regard to circumstances disclosed in the reply filed by respondent Nos.1 to 4- State and considering that there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, present petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

December 14, 2023

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No