

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

2023:PHHC:119567-DB

CWP-20055-2023

Date of Decision: 12.09.2023

Mahavir Jain and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Union Territory and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate,
and Ms. Shrishtti S. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate,
for Union Territory.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present writ petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 03.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) whereby, the Sub Divisional Officer has been directed to seal the premises of the petitioner i.e. SCF No. 61, Sector 26, Grain Market, Chandigarh.

2. Apparently, an appeal has been filed against the said order i.e. ***Mahavir Singh and another vs. Sub Divisional Magistrate (East), Chandigarh and others*** before respondent No.2, which is now stated to be pending for tomorrow i.e. 13.09.2023.

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if the appeal is decided within a period of one week since apparently his goods are still locked up in the premises in question which is causing extreme hardship since he was conducting his business in the said premises.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
6. Keeping in view the limited prayer, we do not deem it appropriate to call for the reply as it would only delay the proceedings.
7. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Appellate Authority to decide the above said appeal within a period of one week.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

12.09.2023
shivani

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes
Whether reportable	No