

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-44889-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.09.2023

Angrej Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. Prayer in the 2nd petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.141 dated 07.09.2022, registered under Sections 21 and 29 of NDPS Act, at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is in custody for about 1 year. The alleged recovery effected from him is marginally above the non-commercial quantity of contraband, it being 260 grams of Heroin contained in a polythene bag. He has been falsely implicated in the case, as the wife of the petitioner is the Sarpanch of the village and due to party faction. No independent witness was joined. Challan was presented on 06.03.2023, however, charges have not been framed. In all there are 13 prosecution witnesses. The petitioner is involved in one more case under the NDPS Act, wherein he was implicated being a pillion rider and no recovery was effected from him and he is on bail and from

the one who was riding the motorcycle, 50 grams of heroin was recovered.

Reliance is placed on the judgment of Hon'ble The Supreme Court in **Maulana Mohd. Amir Rashadi vs. State of U.P. and others**, 2012(2) SCC 382.

3. The custody certificate dated 13.09.2023 has been filed by learned State counsel, as per which, the petitioner is behind bars for 1 year and 3 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that it is a case of chance recovery, the petitioner was apprehended at the spot and the commercial quantity of contraband has been recovered from him and he is involved in one more case under the NDPS Act. He is however unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the case and the petitioner is on bail in another case.

5. Heard.

6. Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of **Maulana Mohd. Amir Rashadi** (supra) had held that, "As observed by the High Court, merely on the basis of criminal antecedents, the claim of the second respondent cannot be rejected. In other words, it is the duty of the Court to find out the role of the accused in the case in which he has been charged and other circumstances such as possibility of fleeing away from the jurisdiction of the Court etc."

7. The Coordinate Bench of this Court in **Gurpreet Singh vs. State of Punjab** in CRM-M-45214-2021 decided on 23.03.2022, where 255 grams of heroin was recovered, custody was a little over 1 year and there being no criminal antecedents, the petitioner was granted the concession of bail on the aforesaid grounds. In **Sarabjeet Singh @ Sarbi vs. State of Punjab**, CRM-M-718-2023, decided on 24.03.2023, **Gursant Singh @ Santu vs. State of Punjab**,

CRM-M-37944-2020, decided on 07.09.2021, the recovery, as in the present case, was effected from a transparent polythene bag and custody was 1 year 8 days and 1 year 3 months respectively, wherein bail was granted. In **Karandeep Singh @ Sunny vs. State of Punjab**, CRM-M-9712-2021 decided on 06.09.2021, a case of recovery of 270 and 150 grams of heroin from two accused, being marginally higher than non-commercial quantity bail was granted after about 11 months of custody and similarly in **Simrapal Singh vs. UOI**, CRM-M-10276-2021, decided on 17.09.2021, bail was granted on custody of about 1 year, the recovery of 1.5 grams of charas, being marginally above non-commercial quantity, against which the SLP filed by UOI was dismissed vide order dated 23.01.2023. Hon'ble The Supreme Court in **Basanti Mondal and Ors. vs. State of West Bengal**, SLP (Crl.) No.12586/2022 dated 29.03.2023, granted bail to a lady after 1 year of custody and 6548 bottles were recovered and each bottle contained 100 ml of phensedyl cough linctus codeine.

8. Hon'ble The Supreme Court of India in the case of **Dheeraj Kumar Shukla vs. The State of Uttar Pradesh**, SLP (Criminal) No.6690/2022 decided on 25.01.2023 observed that in a case of long custody period, involving quantity recovered to be of commercial nature, where the trial is yet to commence, though charges had been framed, the condition of Section 37 of NDPS Act can be dispensed with. In the case of **Bhupender Singh vs. Narcotic Control Bureau** (2022) 2 RCR (Crl.) 706, the Division Bench of this Court observed with regard to achieving balance between right to speedy trial guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India and rigors of Section 37 of NDPS Act. In the case of **Munasi Masih vs. State of Punjab**, CRM-M-31504-2022, decided on

06.02.2023, this Court granted bail to a first offender from whom commercial quantity of contraband had been recovered and only 2 out of 13 PWs have been examined, by observing that in view of delayed trial, the rigors of Section 37 of NDPS Act can be diluted to an extent and the petitioner can be granted bail, keeping in mind the right to a speedy trial as envisaged under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

9. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 1 year and 3 days; on bail in another case; alleged recovery is marginally above the non-commercial quantity; challan was presented on 06.03.2023, however, charges are yet to be framed; in all there are 13 prosecution witnesses, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, thus further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India and the rigors of Section 37 of NDPS Act can be diluted bearing in mind the right to a speedy trial, thus, the present petition for grant of regular bail deserves to be allowed.

10. As a result, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned and subject to his not being required in any other case. The petitioner shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the

offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.

- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number to the Trial Court forthwith and shall not change the same till the conclusion of the trial and in case for any reason, the petitioner seeks to change any of the aforesaid, the same shall be done only with prior intimation to the learned Trial Court, stating the reason for the same.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

11. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

12. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as an opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

September 14, 2023
M.Kamra

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No