

2023:PHHC:122088

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

CRWP-8877-2023

Date of decision : 14.09.2023

Jyoti and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Minkal Thatai, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. As per petitioners, they have married on 03.09.2023 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6, who happen to be the relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Jyoti.

3. Both the petitioners claim themselves to be major stating that petitioner No.1-Jyoti is 01.01.2003 born and petitioner No.2-Saheb Singh is 11.07.1999 born as per their Aadhar Cards.

4. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 04.09.2023 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioners.

2023:PHHC:122088

5. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 6 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

6. The petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

14.09.2023
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No