

to take the life of her daughter. There is no other case against the petitioner. The petitioner undertakes to join the investigation as and when so required by the police. Therefore, the petitioner deserves to be protected against her arrest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sandeep, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh, has submitted that the death of the couple had happened inside the house of the petitioner, where the petitioner was present, as well. The petitioner had brought the poisonous substance in joint effort of all the co-accused. Investigation is at the initial stage. Since, it is a murder case, therefore, the police need the custodial interrogation of the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve any concession of anticipatory bail.

6. Keeping in view the fact that even the daughter of the petitioner had died in the incident and the fact that there is no separate and specific allegation against the petitioner except alleging some action jointly by the other co-accused, this Court finds that it would be appropriate to protect the petitioner from being arrested, however by directing her to join the investigation.

7. In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of by granting concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Therefore, it is directed that in case of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail

bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer.
However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

06.09.2023
adhikari

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No