

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(244)

1. CWP-23839-2022
- Jagmal** **.....Petitioner**
- Versus**
- State of Haryana and others** **....Respondents**
2. CWP-29601-2022
- Lakhmi Chand** **.....Petitioner**
- Versus**
- State of Haryana and others** **....Respondents**

Date of decision: - 19.05.2023

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Ashok Kumar Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This order will dispose of two writ petitions filed by different petitioners, in which, the prayer is for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent No.3 to issue Form U.S. -4 to the petitioner and to enter and sanction mutation in their favour with respect to the land in question.
2. On 11.04.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

*“Learned State counsel has placed on record certificate ‘US-4’
in favour of the petitioners.*

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that although

the substantial relief as prayed for, has been granted, but the mutation is yet to be sanctioned. It is submitted that the petitioners would approach the authorities for getting the mutation sanctioned.

Adjourned to 19.05.2023.

To be taken up at 01:45 P.M.

A photo copy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

11.04.2023

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE"**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had on 18.04.2023 moved an application for mutation, but the same has not been sanctioned till date.

4. Learned State counsel has submitted that certain objections have been filed by some other persons, thus, the mutation has been treated as a contesting mutation and the same is now pending before the Assistant Collector 1st Grade and the Assistant Collector 1st Grade would pass the necessary order in accordance with law within a period of six months from today.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the above-said statement satisfies the petitioner, but prays that the respondents-State be bound by the said statement.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petitions are disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Respondents-State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

May 19, 2023
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No