

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(126)

**CWP-19976-2023
Decided on : 11.09.2023**

Dolat Ram @ Daulat Ram and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India the petitioners seek quashing of the Letter of Intent dated 27.04.2023 (Annexure P-14) issued in favour of respondent Nos.6 to 9.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if limited prayer regarding opportunity of hearing and pass a speaking order on representation/objection petition dated 14.08.2023 (Annexure P-16) submitted vide Diary No.18681 on 14.08.2023 is accepted.

3. It is the case of the petitioners that Letter of Intent for request for grant of license to setup a Group Housing Colony over an additional area measuring 7.0875 acres has been granted to M/s Tulip Infratech Pvt. Ltd.-respondent No 9 in collaboration with private respondents No.6 to 8 on 27.04.2023 (Annexure P-14). It is further the case of the petitioners that the land which has been included in the said letter of intent is pertaining to Rectangle No.77, Killa No.22 having an area of 6 kanals 18 marlas and is subject matter of appeal before the Revenue Authority in the partition proceedings. It is their contention that out of the said portion, they are owners in possession of land measuring 15 marlas 03 sarsai, as per the averments made in paragraph No.5.

4. Mr. Verma submits that on account of the above said representation the petitioners be given opportunity of hearing by the Director General, Town and Country Planning-respondent No.2, so that the petitioners can put forth objections as such taken, as the developers and landowners have concealed material facts in respect of the litigation pending in the form of partition proceedings.
5. Notice of motion.
6. Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
7. Keeping in view the limited relief as such sought, we are of the considered opinion that no useful purpose would be served by calling the respondents to file reply.
8. Accordingly, we dispose of the present writ petition with the direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-16) and pass a speaking order after hearing all concerned within a period of 2 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. It is, however, made clear that we have not opined upon the merits of the case and only directed the consideration of the representation.
9. Disposed off.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

11.09.2023
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No