

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2195-2022 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 28.03.2023

Suchitra ...Petitioner

Versus

K. Shiva Parsad and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Prince Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. A. P. Kaushal, Advocate  
for respondent No. 3.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 11.05.2022, passed by this Court in CWP-9970-2022, vide which, while disposing of the writ petition, respondent No. 2 was directed to decide the legal notice of the petitioner within a period of twelve weeks, with a further direction that in the meanwhile, the Administrator be not appointed.

As per affidavit, filed by the Director, Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab, which is on record, a speaking order has already been passed deciding the said legal notice.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

28.03.2023  
*Waseem Ansari*

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |