

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

240

CWP-25074-2021

Date of Decision: 13.04.2023

SHARVAN KUMAR PARJAPATI

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to provide adequate security the petitioner.

Learned State Counsel submits that the status report in the present case has already been filed and as such, there is no necessity of filing separate reply on behalf of the remaining respondents as the status report would answer the purpose of the issue raised in the present petition. He refers to the status report to contend that the petitioner has been abusing the security granted to him by taking an undue and unfair advantage of the same for his nephew. It was further argued that the threat perception to the family of the deceased was duly assessed and it was found that there was no further threat and as such, the security was withdrawn. Even though the aforesaid status report was filed in the year 2022, however, no replication/rejoinder has been filed by the petitioner to controvert the abovesaid facts. Instead, there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner at all. It seems that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.04.2023.

rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No