

2023:PHHC:125217

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-1369-2023

Date of Decision: 22.09.2023

SURINDER KUMAR

... PETITIONER

VS.

NEETU AND ANOTHER

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. The petitioner has assailed the order dated 19.08.2023 vide which conditional warrants of arrest have been issued against him for Rs.72,000/- on account of arrears of maintenance for a period of one year.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a fresh law graduate and is unable to pay the amount pertaining to arrears of maintenance. He further submits that the respondents are seeking execution of the order vide which maintenance has been awarded in their favour.

3. On a query with regard to the amount which the petitioner shall pay to the respondents on the next date of hearing before the learned Family Court, the learned counsel for the petitioner had sought pass over to get instructions in the matter. Subsequently, when the case was again taken up, he has submitted that the petitioner is not in a position to pay, in any case, more than Rs.10,000/- on or before 07.10.2023 i.e. the next date of hearing fixed in the learned Family Court.

4. The respondents are seeking to execute the order vide which maintenance has been awarded in their favour. The offer given by the petitioner to pay the amount on or before the next date of hearing is too meagre and cannot be accepted.

5. In these set of circumstances, no justified ground is made out to interfere in the order dated 19.08.2023 and recall the conditional warrants of arrest.

6. Dismissed.

22.09.2023

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No Whether Reportable : Yes/No