

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

247

CRWP-9630-2022
Date of Decision:-30.11.2023

Gursewak Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.
2. However, at this stage, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 submits that in light of the fact that the petitioner has no subsisting grievance, the findings against respondent No. 4 should not be read against his service record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection, in case, the report of the Warrant Officer against respondent No. 4 is negated *qua* the allegation against respondent No. 4.
4. Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 further submits that the actual reason for the said report was that a little untoward disharmony

CRWP-9630-2022 -2-

respondent No. 4 was neither on duty nor was the concerned Official.

5. Accordingly, without prejudice to the rights of respondent No. 4, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

November 30, 2023
Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No