

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**CRM-M-46603-2022
Date of decision: 09.01.2023**

Vashu Sharma and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. N.S. Sodhi, Advocate and
Mr. Naveen K. Mehta, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.155 dated 24.09.2022 under Sections 306 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No.1, who is aged 19 years, is brother-in-law of the deceased while petitioner No.2, who is aged about 58 years, is father-in-law of the deceased Taranjeet Tauri. It is *inter alia* contended that the allegations in the FIR are vague and no suicide note has been recovered from the deceased whereby anything could be attributed to the petitioners. It is further stated that co-accused, who is mother-in-law (wife of petitioner no.2) has already been granted interim bail by this Court vide order dated 30.9.2022 (Annexure P-4). Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that pursuant to order dated 07.10.2022, passed by this

Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, assisted by counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite. Learned State counsel on instructions further states that the petitioners are no longer required for further interrogation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 07.10.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

09.01.2023

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No