

Anita Devi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in complaint case No. NACT/715, dated 27<sup>th</sup> September, 2019 under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner admits the fact that petitioner as on date is a proclaimed person.

3. On a specific query from the Court, as to how the petition is maintainable in view of the *Supreme Court* decision in *Lavesh vs. State (NCT of Delhi); 2012(8) SCC 730 and State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Pardeep Sharma; 2014 AIR (SC) 626*, she seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

4. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Since the main case has been dismissed as withdrawn, pending application if any is rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]  
JUDGE

**6<sup>th</sup> September, 2023**

Parveen Sharma