

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8801-2023

Date of Decision:20.11.2023

Prika @ Parika and Anr.

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 01.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot and he shall be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter and take appropriate steps, in accordance with law.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2– Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, District Pathankot is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after

seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

20.11.2023
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No