

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

274 (2)

CWP-19859-2023

Date of decision: 28.11.2023

SAVNEET KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Vishal R. Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. ADS Sukhija, Advocate &
Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed for seeking quashing of FIR No. 95 dated 02.08.2023 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Mullanpur, District SAS Nagar, Mohali (Annexure P-7).

The petitioner No.1 who is wife of Inderpreet Singh Manchanda and petitioner No.2 who is mother of Inderpreet Singh Manchanda have approached this Court for seeking quashing of the aforesaid FIR and apprehending that they are likely to be implicated in the said FIR even though they have no role in commission of any offence in any manner whatsoever solely on account of their relationship with suspect Inderpreet Singh Manchanda.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar, SAS Nagar states that the investigation in the case is already complete and that the charge sheet under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been filed against Inderpreet Singh Manchanda while the investigation qua Paramjeet Kaur is still pending and a final report is to be filed. He contends that the petitioners are neither nominated as a suspect nor as accused and there is no investigation pending against them. The present petition is thus misconceived.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by the State counsel on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar, SAS Nagar, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 28, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No