

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44428-2023
Date of decision:05.10.2023**

AMARPREET SINGH @ GOLDY AND ANR Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

 Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate for
 Mr. Vishal Khattar, Advocate
 for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed seeking quashing of the FIR No.39 dated 27.03.2023 registered under Sections 307, 388, 427, 506, 34 IPC & Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, registered at P.S. Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and (Sections 307 & 388 IPC & Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act were deleted later on), on the basis of compromise dated 08.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 08.08.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. As per the report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sangrur, the

petitioners had deposited cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance of the order dated 05.09.2023.

3. Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sangrur vide report dated 30.09.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sangrur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.39 dated 27.03.2023 registered under Sections 307, 388, 427, 506, 34 IPC & Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, registered at P.S. Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and (Sections 307 & 388 IPC & Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act were deleted later on) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

05.10.2023
anu

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |