

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23495-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.02.2023

Gurnam

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. J.S.Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. B.B.Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel for UOI
Mr. R.S.Pandher, Sr.DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

In substance, the petitioner prays for direction to the respondents to pay him travelling allowance for a period of 96 days as he was required to work at a distance of more than 5 kms from his residence under the Mahatma Gandhi Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MNREGA).

In the considered view of the Court, the petitioner has an alternative remedy of filing a recovery suit. Moreover, as per the impugned order, the distance is also disputed. Hence, the petitioner, if so advised, may avail, an alternative remedy.

Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

14.02.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**