

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**2023:PHHC:117281
CWP No.19785 of 2023
Date of Decision:06.09.2023**

M/s Jai Hanuman Rice Mill, Jaitu and others

....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Drupad Sangwan, Advocate
Mr. Azad Singh, Advocate
Ms. Pridhi Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners

Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 to frame fresh policy for granting permission in respect of installation of new rice mills in the paddy surplus Centers/ Districts instead of installation of new rice mills in the paddy deficit Centers/Districts.
2. From the perusal of pleadings as well as prayer it comes out that petitioners are seeking directions to respondents to frame a policy. The prayer further seems to be in the form of public interest litigation.

3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to avail remedy(s) as permissible by law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.09.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: Yes/No