

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-50585-2021

Date of decision : 20.03.2023

Rohit Attri

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-Rohit Attri has filed the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 62 dated 12.10.2021, under Section 406 and 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Handesra, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

As per the facts of the case a written complaint was filed by the complainant that she got married with Rohit Attri on 19.02.2019. Her marriage was performed by spending huge money by her parents. After marriage she was physically and mentally harassed by her husband and in-laws on account of demand for cash and a car. They again demanded money for the construction of their house. Ultimately, when their demands were not satisfied she was turned out of the house on 12.09.2020 by her father-in-law. Ultimately, the present FIR has been registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, where the matter has been compromised and the terms of agreement/settlement are also placed on record, according to which the parties will file divorce petition with mutual consent. The present petitioner has already joined the investigation. He is not required for any purpose. Therefore ad-interim bail already granted in his favour may be confirmed.

The bail application is not opposed by learned counsel representing the State on account of the written settlement/agreement arrived at between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. It is confirmed that the petitioner has also joined the investigation as per the ad-interim bail already granted in his favour.

I have considered the aforesaid facts. The parties have mutually settled their dispute. The petitioner has already joined the investigation. Therefore, the ad-interim bail already granted in favour of the petitioner vide order dated 20.12.2021 stands confirmed subject to the conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is accordingly accepted.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

20.03.2023.
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No