

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-19975-2023**

**Date of Decision:20.09.2023**

**SURJIT SINGH**

..... Petitioner

*Versus*

**UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present : Mr. Manvinder Sindhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gehna Vaishnevi, Advocate  
for the respondent- Union of India.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. On 12.09.2023, the following order was passed:

*“The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No. 3 to reissue/renew his passport.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that an FIR No. 158 dated 15.09.2008, under Section 36(1) of the Punjab Apartment and Property Regulations Act, 1995 was registered against the petitioner at Police Station, Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar.*

*Learned State counsel, who on advance notice, is present in Court, confirms the afore-stated position.*

*Ms. Gehna Vaishnevi, counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice, is present in Court, seeks time to get instructions.*

*Adjourned to 20.09.2023.”*

2. Learned counsel for the respondent- Union of India submits that application of the petitioner stands closed. If the petitioner files fresh application, it would be decided in accordance with law within 6 weeks from the date of filing of application.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.
4. In the wake of statement of Learned counsel for the respondent- Union of India, the petition stands disposed of.

( JAGMOHAN BANSAL )  
JUDGE

20.09.2023  
*Ali*

|                           |               |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No        |
| <i>Whether Reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |